

Applicant/Attorney Interview SummaryApplication No.: 10/687,955First Named Applicant: Robert Alvin MayExaminer: Dunn, Darrin D. Art Unit: 2121 Status of Application: PendingParticipants: (1) John P. Schaub (2) Darrin D. Dunn
(3) _____ (4) _____Date of Interview: 6/4/2008 Time: 2:00 PM (EDT)

Type of Interview:

(a) ☒ Telephonic(b) ☐ Personal(c) ☐ Video ConferenceExhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description:

Issues Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Finality of Office Action mailed February 5, 2008			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) 35 U.S.C. § 101 Rejection of Claim 21			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) Statutory Double Patenting Rejection of Claims 1-3,11-13,21-23			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) 35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejection of Claim 1			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached ☐ Copy of Amendment attached**Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:**

Examiner Dunn agreed:

1. the finality of the Office Action mailed February 5, 2008 was premature;
2. the 35 U.S.C. § 101 Rejection of Claim 21 will be withdrawn; and
3. the Statutory Double Patenting Rejection of Claims 1-3,11-13,21-23 will be withdrawn.

The undersigned agreed to amend the independent claims to further describe "cluster of network enabled devices."

Note: The MPEP, section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the Examiner was reached at the interview.

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an Examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the Applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

/John P. Schaub/

John P. Schaub

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)